

C  
B  
B  
Cont'd

organic compound and a hydrophilic and water insoluble thermoplastic resin compound to make a kneaded compound, and dispersing the kneaded compound in a hydrophobic thermoplastic resin.

21. (New) A method of producing a resin composition to be used in a multi-layer laminate for packaging liquid foods, comprising the steps of kneading a hydrophilic reducing organic compound, a porous inorganic compound, and a hydrophilic and water insoluble thermoplastic resin compound, to make a kneaded compound, and dispersing the kneaded compound in a hydrophobic thermoplastic resin.

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-19 were pending in the above-identified patent application. The title of the invention and the Specification have been amended for clarity. The amendments to Claims 1 and 3 are supported by the specification on page 12, lines 1-10. New claim 20 is supported on page 6-7, lines 1-30 and 1-13. New claim 21 is supported on page 7-8, lines 14-30 and 1-30. No new matter or new issues are contained in the amendments. Based on the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the pending and new claims.

### **Formal Matters**

The title has been changed to clearly indicate that the invention is directed to resin compositions to be used in a multi-layer laminate for storing liquid foods. Applicants concur with the Examiner that the resin is intended to be utilized as a component of a multi-layer laminate in order to be an effective liquid food storage container.

The specification has been modified as requested by the Examiner to clarify and correct minor errors. No new matter or new issues are contained in these amendments.

### **Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph**

Claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. The Office Action indicates that the claims are unclear as to how the resin composition may be utilized by itself to store liquid foods.

Applicants have amended Claims 1 and 3 to recite that the resin composition is "to be used in a multi-layer laminate". By definition, a laminate is "a composite made of any one of several types of thermosetting plastic bonded to paper, cloth etc" (The Condensed Chemical Dictionary, 8<sup>th</sup> Ed. Gessner G. Hawley Editor). As requested by the Examiner, the amendments to the claims clarify that the resin should be utilized as a component of a multi-layer laminate in order to be an

effective liquid food storage container. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the objections be withdrawn.

**Prior Art Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

An important feature of the invention as indicated in the claims is that a hydrophilic reducing organic component (component A) is included in a hydrophilic and water insoluble thermoplastic resin (component B). None of the prior art references discloses the kneading of the A component with the B component of the present invention. The A component is protected by the B component which has oxygen gas barrier characteristics. Thus, A is prevented from being consumed by the surrounding oxygen before the resin composition contacts the aqueous liquid food. Therefore, the A component maintains its oxygen absorbing capability. However, when the resin composition contacts the aqueous liquid food, the water component gradually reaches through the C component and decreases the oxygen barrier characteristics of component B. As a result, the A component can then exhibit its oxygen absorbing function (page 12 lines 1-14). Applicants respectfully affirm that these above mentioned features of Claims 1, 3, 20 and 21 are neither disclosed nor suggested in any references cited by the Examiner.

A. Claims 1, 3 and 5-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious and unpatentable over Koyama et al. (Pat. No. 5,274,024) in view of Blinka et al. (Pat. No. 5,834,079). Also, the Examiner indicates that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute an ascorbic acid for the oxygen scavenger in the laminate taught in Koyama because it is functionally equivalent to the metal oxide utilized in Koyama. Furthermore, the Examiner states that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a zeolite into the EVOH blend layer of the laminate taught in Koyama in order to prevent the migration of oxygen scavenging byproducts. Applicants traverse this rejection as follows.

Koyama merely discloses that the oxygen absorbing resin is a blend comprising a vinyl alcohol polymer and an olefin resin in a weight ratio of 1:99~90:10 (Claim 2), and an oxygen scavenger is incorporated in the blend (col. 6, lines 18-21). Blinka merely discloses a film which includes an oxygen scavenger and a zeolite. The oxygen scavenger may be selected from the group which includes ascorbates (Claim 1), and the oxygen scavenger is incorporated into a packaging structure (col. 1, lines 40-50).

A combination of the Koyama and Blinka teachings would not arrive at the three component resin composition of the present invention. Neither of these cited prior art teach the unique aspects of the present invention: the decrease in the oxygen barrier characteristics of

component B and the increase in the oxygen absorbing characteristics of component A which occur only when the resin composition of the present invention comes into contact with aqueous liquid foods. Thus, while the combination of Koyama and Blinka may be functionally similar to the claimed invention, the structural elements differ dramatically. Therefore, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention. Since the combination asserted by the Examiner would not have successfully arrived at the present invention, Applicants request that the objection be withdrawn.

B. The Examiner rejected Claims 12 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama et al. (Pat. No. 5,274,024) in view of Blinka et al. (Pat. No. 5,834,079) as applied in claims 1, 3 and 5-8 above, and further in view of Moritani et al. (Pat. No. 4,999,229). The Examiner states that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a polyolefin with a moisture permability of not more than 20g/m<sup>2</sup> -day as the inner layer of the laminate taught in Koyama because Moritani teaches that laminates with such inner layers possess superior barrier properties. Applicants traverse this rejection as follows.

Moritani merely discloses a three-layer laminate, comprising an inner layer, an intermediate layer and an outer layer. The inner layer is selected from the group consisting of polyolefin, polyamides, and polyesters (col. 9, lines 21-45). Koyama merely discloses that the oxygen absorbing resin is a blend comprising a vinyl alcohol polymer and an olefin resin in a weight ratio of 1:99~90:10 (Claim 2), and an oxygen scavenger is incorporated in the blend (col. 6, lines 18-21). Blinka merely discloses a film which includes an oxygen scavenger and a zeolite. The oxygen scavenger may be selected from the group which includes ascorbates (Claim 1), and the oxygen scavenger is incorporated into a packaging structure (col. 1, lines 40-50).

A combination of the Moritani, Koyama and Blinka teachings would not arrive at the unique three component resin composition of the present invention. None of the cited prior art teaches about the following: the decrease in the oxygen barrier characteristics of component B and the increase in the oxygen absorbing characteristics of component A which occur only when the resin composition of the present invention comes into contact with aqueous liquid foods. Thus, while the combination of Moritani, Koyama, Blinka may be functionally similar to the claimed invention, the structural elements differ dramatically. The structural elements of Claim 12 and 13 depend ultimately upon Claim 1. Therefore, since the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention, and the rejection should be withdrawn. Therefore in light of the preceding remarks, Claims 12 and 13 should not be rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

C. Claims 1, 3 and 5-8 were also rejected as being unpatentable over Koyama et al. (Pat. No. 5,274,024) in view of JP-0172416 (assigned to Daiichi Seiyaku Co.) and Teumac et al. (Pat. No. 5,663,223). The Examiner indicates that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the oxygen scavenger taught in Daiichi Seiyaku into the EVOH blend layer of the laminate taught in Koyama in order to enhance the oxygen barrier properties of the laminate. Applicants traverse the rejections as follows.

Koyama merely discloses that the oxygen absorbing resin is a blend comprising a vinyl alcohol polymer and an olefin resin in a weight ratio of 1:99~90:10 (Claim 2), and an oxygen scavenger is incorporated in the blend (col. 6, lines 18-21). Daiichi Seiyaku merely discloses that an oxygen scavenger comprises a zeolite, either synthetic or natural, which supports one or more ascorbic or araboascorbic acids, their salts or derivatives thereof. The oxygen scavenger is apparently incorporated into the foodstuff that it is protecting. Teumac merely discloses that oxygen scavengers which were once added directly to foodstuff are being incorporated into the food packing container (col. 3, lines 48+). None of the cited prior art teaches about the following: the decrease in the oxygen barrier characteristics of component B and the increase in the oxygen absorbing characteristics of component A which occur only when the resin composition of the present invention comes into contact with aqueous liquid foods. Therefore, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention.

Applicants respectfully assert that one of ordinary skill in the art would not arrive at the present invention by combining the teachings of Koyama, Daiichi Seiyaku and Teumac. As indicated above, the present invention has many unique characteristics not taught by any prior art. Thus, the objections to Claims 1 and 3, and dependent claims 5-8 should be withdrawn.

D. Claims 12,13,15 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama et al. (Pat. No. 5,274,024) in view of JP-0172416 (assigned to Daiichi Seiyaku Co.) and Teumac et al. (Pat. No. 5,663,223) and further in view of Moritani et al. (Pat. No. 4,999,229). The Examiner states that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a polyolefin with a moisture permability of not more than 20g/m<sup>2</sup> -day as the inner layer of the laminate taught in Koyama because Moritani teaches that laminates with such inner layers possess superior barrier properties. Applicants traverse the rejection as follows.

Koyama merely discloses that the oxygen absorbing resin is a blend comprising a vinyl alcohol polymer and an olefin resin in a weight ratio of 1:99~90:10 (Claim 2), and an oxygen scavenger is incorporated in the blend (col. 6, lines 18-21). Daiichi Seiyaku merely discloses that an oxygen scavenger comprises a zeolite, either synthetic or natural, which supports one or more ascorbic or araboascorbic acids, their salts or derivatives thereof. The oxygen scavenger is

apparently incorporated into the foodstuff that it is protecting. Teumac merely discloses that oxygen scavengers which were once added directly to foodstuff are being incorporated into the food packing container (col. 3, lines 48+). Moritani merely discloses a three-layer laminate, comprising an inner layer, an intermediate layer and an outer layer. The inner layer is selected from the group consisting of polyolefin, polyamides, and polyesters (col. 9, lines 21-45).

For the reasons already described above, Claims 12 and 13 which ultimately depend upon Claim 1, are for a resin composition used in a multilayer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods.

Claim 15 has been amended to indicate that the composition is directed to a laminate for packaging aqueous liquid foods. As indicated in the background (page 15 lines 3-13), an important feature of the invention is that the laminate for packing aqueous liquid foods comprises a layer made of resin that is prepared by dispersing a porous inorganic compound containing ascorbic acids into hydrophobic thermoplastic resin. The ascorbic acids in the resin layer are stable in the presence of oxygen under dry conditions and normal temperatures. So, it is possible to preserve the above-described function during the storage of the packaging material. However, when filled with aqueous liquid foods, the water component passes through the thermoplastic resin and gradually reaches the supporting porous inorganic compound, whereby the ascorbic acids exhibit an oxygen absorbing function. Therefore, it becomes possible to prevent any degradation of the liquid foods due to the presence of oxygen during storage. Thus, quality is preserved and shelf-life extended when aqueous liquid foods are packaged in a laminate comprising the present composition.

Daiichi Seiyaku discloses porous inorganic compounds containing ascorbic acids. However, Daiichi Seiyaku assumes that ascorbic acids have to be released from porous inorganic compounds to exhibit their oxygen absorbing capability. See attached copy of Japanese and English translation of portions of the same. When the porous inorganic compounds containing ascorbic acids as taught by Daiichi Seiyaku are incorporated in a hydrophobic thermoplastic resin, it is expected that the ascorbic acids would not be released from the porous inorganic compounds. Thus, when the ascorbic acids within the porous inorganic compounds are incorporated in the hydrophobic thermoplastic resin, it is expected that the ascorbic acids would not exhibit any oxygen absorbing capability. Therefore, Applicants believe that another novel feature of the present invention is the incorporation of ascorbic acids into porous inorganic compounds as described on page 13 lines 3-18. The above mentioned features of Claim 15 are neither disclosed nor suggested in any cited references. Since combining the teaching of all of these cited prior art would not arrive

at all of the structural elements of the present invention, the objections to Claims 12, 13, 15 and 16 should be withdrawn.

E. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10, and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bettle III (Pat. No. 5,320,889) in view of Blinka et al (Pat. No. 5,834,979). The Examiner indicates that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an ascorbic acid into the ethylene/EVOH blend layer of the laminate taught in Bettle in order to improve the oxygen barrier properties of the package. The Examiner also alleges the it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a zeolite into the ethylene/EVOH blend layer of the laminate taught in Bettle in order to prevent migration of oxygen scavenging byproducts. Applicants traverse the rejection as follows.

Bettle III merely discloses a laminate comprising an EVOH layer. Blinka merely discloses a film which includes an oxygen scavenger and a zeolite. The oxygen scavenger may be selected from the group which includes ascorbates (Claim 1), and the oxygen scavenger is incorporated into a packaging structure (col. 1, lines 40-50). Claims 5-8, 10 and 11 ultimately depend upon Claim 1. Claim 1 and 3 are for a resin composition used in a multi-layer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods. Therefore, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention. Also as indicated above, the present invention has many unique characteristics not taught by any prior art. Since the teachings of Bettle and Blinka would not be successful in arriving at the present invention, the objection should be withdrawn.

F. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bettle III (Pat. No. 5,320,889) in view of JP-0172416 (assigned to Daiichi Seiyaku Co.) and Teumac et al. (Pat. No. 5,663,223). The Examiner indicates that since it is well known that oxygen scavengers can be incorporated in to the layers of polymeric containers, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate the oxygen scavenger taught in Daiichi Seiyaku into the ethylene/EVOH blend layer of the laminate taught in Bettle in order to enhance its oxygen barrier properties. Applicants traverse the objection as follows.

Bettle III merely discloses a laminate comprising an EVOH layer. Daiichi Seiyaku merely discloses than an oxygen scavenger comprises a zeolite, either synthetic or natural, which supports one or more ascorbic or araboascorbic acids, their salts or derivatives thereof. The oxygen scavenger is apparently incorporated into the foodstuff that it is protecting. Teumac merely discloses that oxygen scavengers which were once added directly to foodstuff are being

incorporated into the food packing container (col. 3, lines 48+). Thus, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention.

Claims 5-8, 10 and 11 ultimately depend upon Claim 1. Claim 1 and 3 are for a resin composition used in a multi-layer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods. Therefore, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention. Since combining the teaching of all of these cited prior art would not arrive at all of the structural elements of the present invention, the objections should be withdrawn.

G. Claims 1, 3 and 5-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lofgren et al. (Pat. No. 5,133,999) in view of Blinka et al. (Pat. No. 5, 834,079). The Examiner indicates that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to incorporate an ascorbic acid into the barrier layer of the laminate taught in Lofgren in order to improve the oxygen permeability of the package. Furthermore, the Examiner states that it would also have been obvious to incorporate a zeolite into the barrier layer of the laminate taught in Lofgren in order to prevent the migration of oxygen scavenging byproducts. Applicants traverse the objections as follows.

Lofgren merely discloses a layer consisting of a mixture of polyethylene and ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer (col. 4, lines 61-68). Blinka merely discloses a film which includes an oxygen scavenger and a zeolite. The oxygen scavenger may be selected from the group which includes ascorbates (Claim 1), and the oxygen scavenger is incorporated into a packaging structure (col. 1, lines 40-50). Thus, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention.

Claims 5-8, 10 and 11 ultimately depend upon Claim 1. Claim 1 and 3 are for a resin composition used in a multi-layer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods. Thus, the invention is not obvious since combining Lofgren and Blinka would not arrive at all of the structural features of the present application which has many unique characteristics not taught by any prior art. Since the teachings of Lofgren and Blinka would not be successful in arriving at the present invention, the objection should be withdrawn.

H. Claims 1, 3, 4-9, 14 and 17-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lofgren et al. (Pat. No. 5,133,999) in view of JP-0172416 (assigned to Daiichi Seiyaku Co.) and Teumac et al. (Pat. No. 5,663,223). The Examiner indicates that it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the oxygen scavenger taught in Daiichi Seiyaku into the regrind layer of the laminate taught in Lofgren in order to enhance the laminate's oxygen barrier properties. Applicants traverse the objections as follows.

Daiichi Seiyaku merely discloses than an oxygen scavenger comprises a zeolite, either synthetic or natural, which supports one or more ascorbic or araboascorbic acids, their salts or derivatives thereof. The oxygen scavenger is apparently incorporated into the foodstuff that it is protecting. Lofgren merely discloses a layer consisting of a mixture of polyethylene and ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer (col. 4, lines 61-68). Teumac merely discloses that oxygen scavengers which were once added directly to foodstuff are being incorporated into the food packing container (col. 3, lines 48+). Thus, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention.

Claims 4-9 ultimately depend upon Claim 1. Claim 1 and 3 are for a resin composition used in a multi-layer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods. Thus, the invention is not obvious since combining the cited art would not arrive at all of the structural features of the present application.

Claims 17-19 ultimately depend upon Claim 14. Claim 14 has been amended to indicate that the composition is directed to a laminate for packaging aqueous liquid foods. As indicated in the background (page 15 lines 3-13), an important feature of the invention is that the laminate for packing aqueous liquid foods comprises a layer made of resin that is prepared by dispersing a porous inorganic compound containing ascorbic acids into hydrophobic thermoplastic resin. The ascorbic acids in the resin layer are stable in the presence of oxygen under dry conditions and normal temperatures. So, it is possible to preserve the above-described function during the storage of the packaging material. However, when filled with aqueous liquid foods, the water component passes through the thermoplastic resin and gradually reaches the supporting porous inorganic compound, whereby the ascorbic acids exhibit an oxygen absorbing function. Therefore, it becomes possible to prevent any degradation of the liquid foods due to the presence of oxygen during storage. The above mentioned features of Claim 14 are neither disclosed nor suggested in any cited references.

Daiichi Seiyaku discloses porous inorganic compounds containing ascorbic acids. However, Daiichi Seiyaku assumes that ascorbic acids have to be released from porous inorganic compounds to exhibit their oxygen absorbing capability. See attached copy of Japanese and English translation of portions of the same. When the porous inorganic compounds containing ascorbic acids as taught by Daiichi Seiyaku are incorporated in a hydrophobic thermoplastic resin,

it is expected that the ascorbic acids would not be released from the porous inorganic compounds. Thus, when the ascorbic acids within the porous inorganic compounds are incorporated in the hydrophobic thermoplastic resin, it is expected that the ascorbic acids would not exhibit any oxygen absorbing capability. Therefore, Applicants believe that another novel feature of the present invention is the incorporation of ascorbic acids into porous inorganic compounds as described on page 13 lines 3-18. Since combining the teaching of all of the cited prior art would not arrive at all of the unique aspects of the present invention, the objections should be withdrawn.

I. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 9-11, 14 and 17-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itamura et al. (Pat. No. 5,133,999) in view of JP-0172416 (assigned to Daiichi Seiyaku Co.) and Teumac et al. (Pat. No. 5,663,223). The Examiner indicates that it since it is well known that oxygen scavengers can be incorporated into the layer of polymeric container, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the oxygen scavenger taught in Daiichi Seiyaku into the ethylene/EVOH layer of the laminate taught in Itamura in order to enhance the laminate's oxygen barrier properties. Applicants traverse the rejection as follows.

Itamura merely discloses that a composition comprises a polyolefin and a saponified product of ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer (abstract), in a ratio between 65:35 to 99.7:0.3 (col. 4, lines 61-65). The ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer has a saponification degree of at least 96% (abstract), and the blend may be utilized in laminates (col. 9, lines 1-9). Daiichi Seiyaku merely discloses that an oxygen scavenger comprises a zeolite, either synthetic or natural, which supports one or more ascorbic or araboascorbic acids, their salts or derivatives thereof. The oxygen scavenger is apparently incorporated into the foodstuff that it is protecting. Teumac merely discloses that oxygen scavengers which were once added directly to foodstuff are being incorporated into the food packing container (col. 3, lines 48+). Thus, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention.

Claims 5-11 ultimately depend upon Claim 1. Claim 1 and 3 are for a resin composition used in a multi-layer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods. Thus, the invention is not obvious since combining the cited art would not arrive at all of the structural features of the present application.

Claims 17-19 ultimately depend upon Claim 14. Claim 14 has been amended to indicate that the composition is directed to a laminate for packaging aqueous liquid foods. As indicated in the background (page 15 lines 3-13), an important feature of the invention is that the laminate for packing aqueous liquid foods comprises a layer made of resin that is prepared by dispersing a

porous inorganic compound containing ascorbic acids into hydrophobic thermoplastic resin. The ascorbic acids in the resin layer are stable in the presence of oxygen under dry conditions and normal temperatures. So, it is possible to preserve the above-described function during the storage of the packaging material. However, when filled with aqueous liquid foods, the water component passes through the thermoplastic resin and gradually reaches the supporting porous inorganic compound, whereby the ascorbic acids exhibit an oxygen absorbing function. Therefore, it becomes possible to prevent any degradation of the liquid foods due to the presence of oxygen during storage. The above mentioned features of Claim 14 are neither disclosed nor suggested in any cited references.

Daiichi Seiyaku discloses porous inorganic compounds containing ascorbic acids. However, Daiichi Seiyaku assumes that ascorbic acids have to be released from porous inorganic compounds to exhibit their oxygen absorbing capability. See attached copy of Japanese and English translation of portions of the same. When the porous inorganic compounds containing ascorbic acids as taught by Daiichi Seiyaku are incorporated in a hydrophobic thermoplastic resin, it is expected that the ascorbic acids would not be released from the porous inorganic compounds. Thus, when the ascorbic acids within the porous inorganic compounds is incorporated in the hydrophobic thermoplastic resin, it is expected that the ascorbic acids would not exhibit any oxygen absorbing capability. Therefore, Applicants believe that another novel feature of the present invention is the incorporation of ascorbic acids into porous inorganic compounds as described on page 13 lines 3-18. Since combining the teaching of all of the cited prior art would not arrive at all of the compositional elements of the present invention, the objections should be withdrawn.

J. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, and 9-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itamura et al. (Pat. No. 5,133,999) in view of in view of Blinka et al. (Pat. No. 5, 834,079). The Examiner indicates that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an ascorbic acid into the regrind layer of the laminate taught in Itamura in order to improve the oxygen permeability of the package. Furthermore, the Examiner states that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a zeolite in the regrind layer of the laminate taught in Itamura in order to prevent migration of oxygen scavenging byproducts.

Itamura merely discloses that a composition comprises a polyolefin and a saponified product of ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer (abstract), in a ratio between 65:35 to 99.7:0.3 (col. 4, lines 61-65). The ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer has a saponification degree of at least 96% (abstract), and the blend may be utilized in laminates (col. 9, lines 1-9). Blinka merely discloses a film which includes an oxygen scavenger and a zeolite. The oxygen scavenger may be selected

from the group which includes ascorbates (Claim 1), and the oxygen scavenger is incorporated into a packaging structure (col. 1, lines 40-50). Thus, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention.

Claims 5-8 and 9-11 ultimately depend upon Claim 1. Claim 1 and 3 are for a resin composition used in a multi-layer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods. Thus, the invention is not obvious since combining Itamura and Blinka would not arrive at all of the structural features of the present application. Also as indicated above, the present invention has many unique characteristics not taught by any prior art. Therefore, applicants respectfully request that the objections be withdrawn.

K. Claims 2 and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the above combination of references and further in view of Hofeldt et al. (Pat. No. 5,204,389). The Examiner indicates that since Hofeldt teaches that an effective amount of ascorbate for the purpose of the oxygen scavenging is between 0.5-10wt%, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize such amounts of ascorbate in the above taught laminates. Applicants traverse the objections as follows.

Hofeldt merely discloses a film for a container closure comprising ascorbates or mixtures thereof (col. 5, lines 3-7). The amount of scavenger is at least 0.5 wt % based on the polymeric matrix material, and it is generally at least 1% (col. 5, lines 51-55). None of the combinations cited above teach that the oxygen scavenger should be contained in amounts ranging from 0.05-10wt% of the resinous composition. Therefore, the cited art does not provide the motivation to combine these elements to arrive at the present invention.

Claims 2 and 4 depend upon Claims 1 and 3. Claim 1 and 3 are for a resin composition used in a multi-layer laminate with several unique components. None of the cited prior art discloses an A component kneaded into a B component or that both these components undergo changes when the laminate comes into contact with liquid foods. As already indicated above, a person skilled in the art would not be motivated to combine Daiichi Seiyaku with Lofgren, Itamura, Koyama, Teumac or Hofeldt to obtain all of the compositional features of the present invention. In any case, the combinations asserted would not be successful in arriving at all of the structural elements of claims 2 and 4. Thus, the rejections should be withdrawn.

Referring to the arguments made above in connection with rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103, dependent claims 2,4, 5-13 and 16-19 include further elements which, in combination with the elements of the allowable claims from which they depend, further distinguish the prior art.


### CONCLUSION

No additional fees are believed due; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 10-1215.

The foregoing is submitted as a full and complete Response to the Office Action mailed April 5, 1999. This Response places all claims in the present application in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited. The Examiner is invited and encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney of record if such contact will facilitate an efficient examination and allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES & ASKEW, LLP



By: William L. Warren  
Reg. No. 36,714

JONES & ASKEW, LLP  
2400 Monarch Tower  
3424 Peachtree Road, N.E.  
Atlanta, GA 30326  
404/949-2400  
Attorney Docket: 13700-0176

## Laid-Open Japanese Patent 81-96686 (Daiichi Seiyaku)

Page 2, left upper column, line 9-right upper column, line 7

Indirect additives are designed such that a chemical in question is adsorbed or occluded by a support as much as possible. When it is put in foods, the chemical is released and demonstrates its capability. However, as for ascorbic acids, a suitable support was not found. Therefore it has not been actually used.

In view of the foregoing, the present inventors earnestly investigated indirect additives containing ascorbic acids. As a result, the present inventors found that zeolites sufficiently absorb ascorbic acids, and the zeolite support absorbing the ascorbic acids has superior capability as indirect additives for food preservation.

That is, the present inventors found the following. Zeolites do not only adsorb ascorbic acids but also act as vapor adjusting agents so that in the presence of vapor contained in the foods, zeolites gradually release the anti-oxidant agents adsorbed by zeolites.

Page 3, right upper column, lines 11-13

When the food preservation compounds of the present invention is used, the anti-oxidant agent support prepared by the foregoing method are filled into small bags that breathes well.

⑨ 日本国 許序 (JP) ⑩ 特許出願公開  
**⑪ 公開特許公報 (A)** 昭56-96686

⑤Int. Cl.<sup>3</sup>  
A 23 L 3/34

識別記号 行内整理 号  
6714-4B

⑥公開 昭和56年(1981)8月4日

発明の数 1  
審査請求 未請求

(全 4 頁)

②食品用変質防止剤

③特 願 昭54-172416

④出 願 昭54(1979)12月27日

⑤發明者 今田勝美

東京都江戸川区北葛西1丁目16  
番13号第一製薬研究所内

⑥發明者 永瀬勇

東京都江戸川区北葛西1丁目16  
番13号第一製薬研究所内

⑦發明者 山本隆司

東京都江戸川区北葛西1丁目16  
番13号第一製薬研究所内

⑧發明者 高橋浩

東京都杉並区和泉4-46-17

⑨出願人 第一製薬株式会社

東京都中央区日本橋3丁目14番  
10号

⑩代理人 内丸文彦

明細書

1. 発明の名称

食品用変質防止剤

2. 特許請求の範囲

アスコルビン酸若しくはアラギアスコルビン酸又はこれらの組合若しくはアシル誘導体を吸着させたゼオライト担持体からなる食品用変質防止剤。

3. 発明の詳細な説明

本発明は、食品に直接添加、混合することなく、食品と間接的に存在されることにより食品の変質防止を期した間接添加剤即ちアスコルビン酸若しくはアラギアスコルビン酸又はこれらの組合若しくはアシル誘導体（以下これらをアスコルビン酸類と称す。）を吸着させたゼオライト担持体からなる食品用変質防止剤に関するものである。

本発明の間接添加剤は特に油脂食品の酸化防止の目的に使用され、食品の嗜好的価値、栄養的価値及び衛生的安全度など食品の品質を保つ

に有用な食品用変質防止剤である。

従来、フライ物、揚げ菓子などの油脂食品の酸化防止効果はフライ油の中に添加された抗酸化剤例えばBHA (Butylated Hydroxyanisole), BHT (Butylated Hydroxytoluene) あるいはビタミンEなどにより得られているが、食品を調理油にて加熱処理する際に前述の抗酸化剤は急速に揮散及び分解し、その効果は測定できるものでないことが周知である（柴賀と食糧，18卷，83頁（1960）初本著）。

又、アスコルビン酸類の中には、油脂食品の酸化防止剤として実用に供されているものもあるが、金属に直接添加した場合、該食品中の重金属イオンやアミノ酸あるいは該食品の酸性などにより分解を起し、酸化防止効果が弱まるおそれがある。更に、この分解反応を考慮して量を増大すれば食品の味、香氣、風味あるいは色などにも悪影響を与えることも考えられる。あるいは分解産物の溶解による鮮度も懸念されている。

このような観点から種々の酸化防止剤の間接添加法が試みられている。その代表的なものとしては日本での包装紙への適用 (Modern Package, 32卷, 111頁(1969), Caldwellほか) あるいは日本製紙会社の開発技術 (日本食品工業学会誌, 16卷, 72頁(1967), 著者ほか) などが挙げられる。

しかしながら、既報酸化剤の中で最も安全性の高いアスコルビン酸類の間接添加については知られていない。本来、間接添加法は目的の商品を可能な限り粗体に吸着・吸収させ、且つ食品と共に存在させた際に、徐々に該商品を脱離してその効果を発揮することを目的したものであるが、アスコルビン酸類については相応しい粗体が不明であった。故にその実用化に至っていない。

本発明者らはこのような見地からアスコルビン酸類の間接添加について検討した結果、アスコルビン酸類をゼオライト剤に纏めて良好に吸収させること及びこれらを吸着したゼオライト粗体が食品の酸化防止のための間接

- 3 -

ど) が使用できるが、細孔径の比較的大きな合成ゼオライト剤 (13X型及びY型など) が目的物の吸着力の面から望しい。又、ゼオライト剤は一般に水を吸収しているので、調理が避けられない程度の熱処理例は200°C, 2時間処理が必要である。

粗持体調製に関する使用基準としては水、アルコール類、エーテル類、芳香族炭化水素類 (ベンゼン、キシレンなど)、脂肪族炭化水素類 (n-ヘキサンなど)、脂肪族ケトン類 (アセトンなど) あるいはハロゲン化炭化水素類 (クロロホルムなど) などが用いられる。しかし、ゼオライト剤は周知のことく極性分子に対し選択性作用を示すので、極性性溶媒過しくは極性の低い溶媒を用いる方が望ましい。

以上のことく調製した粗持体は即席麺、オムライアラ、フライ豆、ピーナッツ、カリントなどの油脂食品類の間接的抗酸化剤として使用されるのみでなく、茶、アサクサノリや青ノリなどの海藻食品、野菜類などの鮮度保持あるいは

特願昭56-96686(2)  
添加剤として纏めた剤を有することを如見し  
本発明を完成したのである。

即ち、ゼオライト剤はアスコルビン酸類を良好に吸着するのみならず、酸化防止剤としての機能を有し、食品中の水分によって一旦吸着された前記抗酸化剤を徐々に放出することを見出されたのである。

本発明の食品用酸化防止剤を調製するに際しては、アスコルビン酸類の各種溶液又は緑酒液を用い、これらにゼオライト剤を前記抗酸化剤の1乃至50倍量特に8乃至10倍量を作用されればよい。吸着濃度には特に制限はない。

本発明に用いるアスコルビン酸類としては、アスコルビン酸及びアラボアスコルビン酸はもとより、これらの塩類 (NaやK塩など)、アシル誘導体 (ステアロイルやバルミトイル誘導体など) などが挙げられる。

ゼオライト剤としては天然のクリノブチロライトやモルデナイト剤のゼオライトや合成ゼオライト (4A型, 5A型, 13X型及びY型など)

- 4 -

は魚貝類の鮮度保持、オキアミの品質防止などにも使用できる。

本発明の食品用酸化防止剤の有用性は下記する実験方法などで確認された。

即ち、既報酸化剤としてアスコルビン酸モノステアレートを用い、これをゼオライト13X型に吸着・粗持せしめ、不溶持体を間接的にインスタントラーメンに加え、そのシェルラインの延長を調べた。実験に供したインスタントラーメンは市販生ラーメンを用い、抗酸化剤無添加のグラウンドオイルでフライ温度140~150°C、フライ速度90~180秒/1食で揚げた後、粉碎したもので、水分4.8%, 油分1.8%, 酸価1.1 (工業化学総説, 61卷10号, 1567(1969)の方法にて測定) のものである。

更に詳述すると、本実験は前記インスタントラーメン80gを部分的着色印刷をした表面白色不透明の機器非透通性樹脂製包装袋に入れたものを1部10袋開封し、これらに前記粗持体

- 5 -

-416-

- 5 -

各々イリを穴あきガリエテレン袋に充填したものを各々同封袋、シールし、40°C 1ヶ月間置光灯照射(80ワット×2:距離60cm)した。尚、1日おきに袋の内容物はよく混合し、毎回に放光灯照射が行なわれるようにした。1つの試料袋を1日おきに取り出し、過酸化物価を測定した。実験の対照にはアスコルビン酸モノステアレート無添加のゼオライト18X型を用い同様に実験を行った。本実験結果を表1に示した。

#### 表1 インスタントラーメンの放光灯試験過程における過酸化物価の変化

日数	過酸化物価		日数	過酸化物価	
	A	B		A	B
0	10.1	10.1	20	6.0	8.8
4	2.3	16.5	24	7.25	2.8
8	8.2	8.1	28	8.0	8.4
12	6.2	24.5	30	8.8	3.4
16	5.8	8.6			

- 2 -

つ安全性の極めて高いゼオライト担持体の大きな保持力を利かしての長期持続性抗酸化機能を有する食品用酸化防止剤を提供するものである。

以下、実施例を挙げて本発明を詳細に説明する。

#### 実施例1

アスコルビン酸モノステアレート2.0gをルーハーキサン100mlに溶解後、ゼオライト18X型1.0gを加え、時々攪拌しつつ室温暗所に8日間放置試験を行った。本試験実験によってアスコルビン酸モノステアレートの2.7%がゼオライト18X型に吸着された。

ここに得られたアスコルビン酸モノステアレート担持ゼオライト18X型を用い、インスタントラーメンの抗酸化試験を行った。その結果は前記図1に示した通りである。

#### 実施例2

ラーメンの左室にアスコルビン酸2.5g、右室に合成ゼオライト18X型1.0g(300°C, 2時間焼成処理)を入れ、ルーハーキサンを両者混合

特開昭56-98696(3)

A: アスコルビン酸モノステアレート担持ゼ

オライト18X型存在下

B: アスコルビン酸モノステアレート担持ゼオ

ライト18X型存在下

図1に見られることく、アスコルビン酸モノステアレート担持ゼオライト18X型は無担持体に比べ、過酸化物価の上昇を顕著に抑制しており、油脂食品のショルライフの延長に極めて有用であることが判明した。

本発明の食品用酸化防止剤の使用に關しては一般に前記方法により調製した抗酸化剤担持体を通気性を有する小袋に充填し使用する。食品の保存に關しては食品を前記抗酸化剤担持体充填の小袋と共に耐油性非吸着包装紙のシナモン・層状層した包装材料にて包装するかあるいは缶詰包装すればよい。

本発明は、食品のショルライフの延長、即ち食品の味、香氣、風味あるいは色などの品質を長期間保持するために有用な間接添加剤として安全性の極めて高い前記抗酸化剤を使用し、且

- 3 -

することなくよう強く加え、左右両側に斜面を別側に設けしつつ直通に72時間吸着実験を行った。左室のアスコルビン酸は右側のゼオライト18X型に吸着されたため、完全に消失した。

次いで前記アスコルビン酸担持ゼオライト18X型を用いて本文中記載のインスタントラーメンの抗酸化試験を行なったところ、その30日後の過酸化物価は次のとおりであった。尚、対照としてアスコルビン酸無担持ゼオライト18X型(ゼオライト18X型単体)存在下及び無添加のを同時に検討した。

#### 表2 インスタントラーメンの30日後の過酸化物価

条件	過酸化物価
アスコルビン酸担持ゼオライト18X型の存在下	8.4
ゼオライト18X型単体の存在下	8.8
無添加	8.6
実験開始時	1.6

- 9 -

## 実験例 3

実験例 3 と同様に処理して得られたアラボアスコルビン酸のゼオライト 18X 型担持体を用いてカリントの抗酸化試験を行った(カリントは新宿中村屋製のもので、280g 当入ラミネート処理 PP の袋に入れ包入、調査 8 日後の品である。)。

次いでカリント 1 銀に前記担持体 1.0g 入り穴あきポリエチレン袋を加え閉封後、室温に 120 日間放置し、ゼオライト 18X 型担持体存在下及び無添加のものと味、表面、外観の変化ならびに過酸化物質を比較した結果は次のとおりであった。

表 8 カリントの 180 日後の外観、味覚及び過酸化物質

条件	表面外観	味覚	過酸化物質
アラボアスコルビン酸担持ゼオライト 18X 型の存在下	正常状態	通常	8.5
ゼオライト 18X 型単体の存在下	やや 変色状態	少し 苦味有り	8.0
無添加	表面 フサ消失	苦味強し	8.5
実験開始時			8.1

- 11 -

表 9 インスタントラーメンの 80 日後の表面外観及び過酸化物質

条件	表面外観	過酸化物質
アスコルビン酸担持ゼオライト Y 型の存在下	表面褐色	12.0
ゼオライト Y 型単体の存在下	両面褐色	9.0
無添加	両面褐色	8.6
実験開始時	経時褐色	7.1

## 実験例 5

アスコルビン酸 2.5% 及び合成ゼオライト Y 型 1.0g を附いて実験例 3 同様に処理したアスコルビン酸担持ゼオライト Y 型(吸着率 100%)に、水 100ml を加え、室温にて 1 時間攪拌せし、遠心分離を行ない上澄液を得る。この漏出操作をさらに 1 回繰り返し、得られた上澄液を先の上澄液と合わせ、次いで水にて全容積 800ml とする。ヨク素衛症により上澄液のアスコルビン酸漏出量を求めると 248mg (漏出率 0.025) であった。

本実験からゼオライトがアスコルビン酸

## 特開昭56- 98686(4)


## 実験例 4

アスコルビン酸モノステアレート 2.0g をエーテル 100ml に溶解後、ゼオライト Y 型 1.0g を加え、冷蔵庫中時に搅拌しつつ 8 日間放置反応を行った。本反応装置によってアスコルビン酸モノステアレートの 2.1% がゼオライト Y 型に吸着された。

ここに得られたアスコルビン酸モノステアレート担持ゼオライト Y 型を用いて実験例 1 と同じインスタントラーメンの抗酸化試験を行った。但し、処理条件は室温下自然放置とし、試験袋を用意箇に裏側を上にし 60 日間とした。その結果、袋外漏出及び過酸化物質は次のとおりであった。尚、実験の对照として担持ゼオライト Y 型を使用したほか、無添加のものも同時に検討した。

- 12 -

の吸着・脱吸力に優れ、且つ吸着・脱吸されたアスコルビン酸類を水分により容易に遊離することを確認した。



- 13 -

-418-

- 14 -